UCLA DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLITICAL SCIENCE 292B: RESEARCH DESIGN

Prof. Daniel Posner 3248 Bunche dposner@polisci.ucla.edu Winter 2025 Mon, 9-11:50am Bunche 2173

Student Hours: Tues 1:30-3:30pm

The goal of the course is to introduce key issues and debates in the design, implementation, and presentation of political science research. The topics addressed in the course are by no means exhaustive, but they touch on critical questions that graduate students should be exposed to and will benefit from having thought about deeply. These issues include the ethical questions associated with conducting field research, how to maximize the power of one's research designs, the challenge of measuring the underlying theoretical concepts we study, the many sources of bias that may shape one's research, the strengths and weaknesses of experimental approaches, the ways in which insights about human behavior have altered our understandings of political outcomes, and the costs and benefits of pre-registration, among other topics.

REQUIREMENTS

The course is taught in a single meeting each week on Monday from 9-11:50am in 2173 Bunche Hall. Students are required not just to attend the weekly seminar meetings but to have read and thought about the assigned materials for the week, and to participate actively in class discussions. Because of the MLK and Presidents' Day holidays, we will miss two of our class meetings. To make up for this, the class will meet on March 17, the Monday of exam week.

In addition to participation in seminar discussions, all students are required to prepare eight short assignments, as specified in the syllabus. The assignments will be due at 5pm each Sunday (the day before the seminar), with copies posted to the discussion board on the class's BruinLearn page. All students are expected have read their fellow classmates' assignments (as well as the assigned readings) prior to the seminar.

The weight accorded these elements of the course will be as follows: participation in seminar discussions (36%), assignments (8% each).

READINGS

In most graduate courses, reading assignments consist mainly of research articles. While we will read a handful of research articles in this seminar, most of the readings are commentaries, arguments, or descriptions of experiences. Often, they are shorter pieces whose value lies in the perspectives they offer, the arguments they advance, or the questions they raise rather than in the research finding they present. I have selected them because they can serve as the starting points for illuminating discussions and debates.

The readings skew toward Comparative Politics and, within Comparative Politics, toward the study of Africa and the Middle East. But the broader lessons the readings convey should be applicable to—and useful for—researchers across the discipline.

Copies of all seminar readings have been posted to the course <u>BruinLearn page</u> with the exception of Mintz, Valentino and Wayne, *Beyond Rationality*, which we read in its entirety. This book is readily available from Amazon.com and other online booksellers in both hardcopy and as an e-text.

COURSE SCHEDULE AND READING ASSIGNMENTS

Jan 6: Introduction to the Course; Field Research

Kanchan Chandra, "Redefining the Relationship with the Field: Why Graduate Students Should Avoid the Two to Four Week Model of Fieldwork" and Barbara Geddes, "Training for Both Skills and Substance," *Comparative Politics Newsletter*, Fall 2015.

Chapters from Krause and Szekely. 2020. *Stories from the Field: A Guide to Navigating Fieldwork in Political Science* (Columbia University Press).

- Richard Nielsen, "Recite! Interpretive Fieldwork for Positivists."
- Krista Wiegand, "Hezbollah Will Take Your Data: How to Plan for Research Among Insurgents."
- Fotini Christia, "Navigating Data Collection in War Zones."
- Nadya Hajj, "Let Go and Let Ali."
- Daniel Posner, "Be Prepared (To Go Off Script)."
- Paul Staniland, "Fieldwork on Foot."
- David Laitin, "The Onion Principle."

Francesca Jensenius. 2014. "The Fieldwork of Quantitative Data Collection." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, April.

Thomas Zeitzoff. 2019. "More Than Just Hurdles: How Fieldwork Difficulties Provide Insights into Conflict." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, July.

Faten Ghosn and Sarah Parkinson. 2019. "Finding' Sectarianism and Strife in Lebanon." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, July.

Jan 13: Research Ethics and Positionality

Diana Kapiszewski, Lauren Maclean, and Benjamin Read. 2015. "Ethical Challenges: Managing Power, Positionality, and Expectations." In *Field Research in Political Science: Practice and Principles* (Cambridge University Press).

Lee Ann Fujii, 2012. "Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, October.

- Macartan Humphreys. 2015. "Reflections on the Ethics of Social Experimentation." *Journal of Globalization and Development* 6:1.
- Kate Cronin-Furman and Milli Lake. 2018. "Ethics Abroad: Fieldwork in Fragile and Violent Contexts." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, July.
- Sheila Carapico. 2006. "No Easy Answers: The Ethics of Field Research in the Arab World." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, July.
- Chapters from Krause and Szekely. 2020. Stories from the Field: A Guide to Navigating Fieldwork in Political Science (Columbia University Press).
 - Erica Chenoweth, "On Research that 'Matters.""
 - Marc Lynch, "Things Change: Protecting Yourself and Your Sources in Uncertain Times."
 - Ora Szekely, "On Being Seen."
 - Peter Krause, "Navigating Born and Chosen Identities in Fieldwork."
- Derek Willis. 2014. "Professors' Research Project Stirs Political Outrage in Montana." *New York Times*, 28 October.
- Laura Schechter and Srinivasan Vasudevan. 2023. "Persuading Voters in India to Punish Vote-Buying Candidates." *VoxDev*, 9 December.
- Jennifer Hamilton, Muthoni Nganga, and Daniel N. Posner. 2020. "The Ethics of Field Experimentation Using Remote Monitoring Technologies." Working Paper.

Assignment: select either the Bonica, Dropp, and Rodden study, the Schechter and Vasudevan study or the Hamilton, Nganga and Posner study, as described in their paper, and compose a 1-2 page memo about whether you believe the study is ethical. Come to seminar ready to articulate and defend that position.

Jan 20: MLK Day; NO CLASS

Jan 27: Choosing a Research Question, Theory vs Identification, and Professionalization

- John Gerring. 2012. "Finding a Research Question." In *Social Science Methodology: A Unified Framework* (Cambridge University Press).
- Fred Eidlin. 2011. "The Method of Problems versus the Method of Topics." *PS: Political Science and Politics*, October.
- Cyrus Samii. 2024. "Methodologies for 'Political Science as Problem Solving'." In Box-Steffensmeier et al, Oxford Handbook of Engaged Methodological Pluralism.
- Martin Schwartz. 2008. "The Importance of Stupidity in Scientific Research." *Journal of Cell Science* 121.

John Huber. 2013. "Is Theory Getting Lost in the 'Identification Revolution'?" *Good Authority*, 14 June.

Macartan Humphreys. Nd. "How to Read" and "How to Critique."

Chris Blattman. Nd. "The Discussant's Art."

Feb 3: Measurement and Bias

W. Phillips Shivley. 2013. "Problems of Measurement: Accuracy." In *The Craft of Political Research* (Pearson).

Barbara Geddes. 2003. "How the Cases You Choose Affect the Answers You Get: Selection Bias and Related Issues." In *Paradigms and Sand Castles: Theory Building and Research Design in Comparative Politics* (University of Michigan Press), ch. 3.

Ian Lustick. 1996. "History, Historiography, and Political Science: Multiple Historical Records and the Problem of Selection Bias." *American Political Science Review* 90:3.

Eric Kramon and Daniel Posner. 2013. "Who Benefits from Distributive Politics? How the Outcome One Studies Affects the Answer One Gets." *Perspectives on Politics* 11:2.

James Fearon. 2002. "Selection Effects and Deterrence." International Interactions 28.

Sophia Dawkins. 2021. "The Problem of the Missing Dead." Journal of Peace Research 58:5.

Ari Daniel. 2024. "Alive on Paper but Dead in Reality: Why Fewer People May be Reaching Advanced Age." *All Things Considered*, 8 October.

Katherine Theiss. 2024. "Uncounted: How Survey Implementation Methods Miss 3 Million Annual Cases of Intimate Partner Violence Worldwide." *Development Impact Blog*, 6 December.

Assignment: for each source of bias that will be identified on the <u>Catalogue of Bias</u> web site, identify a political science example of that type of bias. Then a) describe its source, b) explain its impact on the inferences one might draw if the bias is not corrected, c) discuss how researchers can avoid the bias, and d) discuss how researchers can correct the bias if it is discovered after the fact.

Feb 10: Studying Important but Hard-To-Measure Concepts

Melissa Lee and Nan Zhang. 2016. "Legibility and the Informational Foundations of State Capacity." *Journal of Politics* 79:1.

Special Issue on Democratic Backsliding PS: Political Science and Politics, April 2024.

- Andrew Little and Anne Meng, "Measuring Democratic Backsliding."
- Carl Henrik Knutsen et al, "Conceptual and Measurement Issues in Assessing Democratic Backsliding."
- Hanah Baron, Robert Blair, Jessica Gottlieb, and Laura Paler, "An Events-Based Approach to Understanding Democratic Erosion."

- Christian von Haldenwang. 2016. "Measuring Legitimacy: New Trends, Old Shortcomings?" German Development Institute Discussion Paper 18.
- Henry Richardson and Erik Schokkaert. 2018. "How Do We Measure Well-Being?" *The Conversation*, 8 January.
- Wein, Tom, Nd. The Dignity Report: Three Years of Research on Dignity and International Development (ID Insight).
- Adria Lawrence. 2013. *Imperial Rule and the Politics of Nationalism* (Cambridge University Press), preface.

Assignment: Select two case studies from the <u>Innovations for Successful Societies</u> web site and compose a 1-2 page research design memo for each, describing how you would test the key hypothesis that is suggested by the case study. Each should be from a different focus area.

Feb 17: Presidents' Day: NO CLASS

Feb 24: Behavioral Political Science

Alex Mintz, Nicholas A. Valentino, and Carly Wayne. 2022. *Beyond Rationality: Behavioral Political Science in the 21st Century* (Cambridge University Press).

Assignment: identify an influential paper built around rational choice assumptions about human behavior and compose a research design memo that lays out a plan to revisit the paper's arguments from a behavioral political science perspective.

Mar 3: RCTs and Their Critics

- Angus Deaton. 2020. "Introduction: Randomization in the Tropics Revisited." In Bédécarrats et al, eds. *Randomized Control Trials in the Field of Development: A Critical Perspective* (Oxford University Press).
- Susan Stokes. 2014. "A Defense of Observational Research." In Teele, ed. *Field Experiments and Their Critics* (Yale University Press).
- Jishnu Das, Shantayanan Devarajan, and Jeffrey Hammer. 2012. "Lost in Translation." *Boston Review*, 26 June.
- Mary Anne Bates and Rachel Glennerster. 2017. "The Generalizability Puzzle." *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, Summer.
- Jeffrey Hammer. 2017. "Randomized Control Trials for Development? Three Problems." *Brookings Review*, 11 May.

- Justine Davis. 2020. "Manipulating Africa? Perspectives on the Experimental Method in the Study of African Politics." *African Affairs* 119.
- Kim Yi Dionne, Augustine Harawa and Hastings Honde. 2016. "The Ethics of Exclusion When Experimenting in Impoverished Settings." In Desposato, ed. *Ethics and Experiments: Problems and Solutions for Social Scientists and Policy Professionals* (Routledge).

Assignment: Compose a 1-2 page memo laying out the three greatest strengths and three greatest weaknesses of RCTs. Come to seminar prepared to discuss and defend your memo.

Mar 10: PAPs and Pre-Registration I: The Problem

- Garret Christensen, Jeremy Freese, and Edward Miguel. 2019. *Transparent and Reproducible Social Science Research*. (University of California Press), chs 3-4.
- Macartan Humphreys, Raul Sanchez de la Sierra, and Peter van der Windt. 2013. "Fishing, Commitment, and Communication: A Proposal for Comprehensive Nonbinding Research Registration." *Political Analysis* 21.
- Norbert Kerr. 1998. "HARKing: Hypothesizing After the Results are Known." *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 2:3.

Andrew Gelman. 2010. "Story Time." Blog post, 15 October.

Andrew Gelman. 2011. "Descriptive Statistics, Causal Inference, and Story Time." Blog post, 7 July.

Assignment I: Drawing on your knowledge of social science theories, provide an explanation for the regression results that will be provided to you. Write no more than two pages.

Assignment II: Using the data set provided, generate a different set of results supporting each of the hypotheses that will be provided.

Mar 17: PAPs and Pre-Registration II: Proposed Solutions

- George Ofosu and Daniel Posner. 2023. "Pre-Analysis Plans: An Early Stocktaking," *Perspectives on Politics* 21:1.
- Esther Duflo et al. 2020. "In Praise of Moderation: Suggestions for the Scope and Use of Pre-Analysis Plans for RCTs in Economics." *NBER Working Paper* 26993.

Itai Yanai and Martin Lercher. 2020. "A Hypothesis is a Liability," Genome Biology 21.

Symposium on the DART Initiative. 2016. Comparative Politics Newsletter, Spring.

David Laitin et al. 2021. "Reporting All Results Efficiently: A RARE Proposal to Open Up the File Drawer." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 52.

Vin Arceneaux. 2021. "What is a Registered Report and Why Should You be Writing One for JEPS?" *Experimental Political Scientist*, Spring.

Stefano DellaVigna, Devin Pope and Eva Vivalt. 2019. "Predict Science to Improve Science." *Science* 333:6464.

Assignment: Browse the EGAP registry (https://osf.io/registries/egap/discover) and select a preanalysis plan that you think is particularly well done. Write a 1-page explanation of why you think this is the case. Then select another pre-analysis plan that you think is particularly poorly done, and write a 1-page explanation summarizing why.